

Application No. 10/661,166
Amendment Dated 12/14/2006
Reply to Office Action of 08/14/2006

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figures 5 and 7. In Figure 5, label 308 is changed to 306b and in Figure 7, labels 304c, 306a, 307a, 310a, 315, 315a, 315d and 316 are added.

Attachment: Two Replacement Sheets

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1 - 17 are pending in the application. By this amendment, claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 12, 15, 16 and 17 as well as the specification and Figures 5 and 7 are amended. The Replacement Sheets are included in the Appendix.

Page 2 of the Office Action rejects claims 1-13, 15, 16 and 17 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. The claims have been amended in accordance with the recommendations of the Office Action and are now in compliance with 35 USC, 2nd paragraph. It is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1-13, 15, 16 and 17 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph be withdrawn.

Page 4 of the Office Action rejects claims 12 and 13 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,158,945 to Anderson et al. (hereinafter "Anderson et al."). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 12 recites, inter alia, "...a boom angle follower, the boom angle follower including a spring and a follower arm, the spring capable of biasing the follower arm against a surface of the boom such that the follower arm remains in contact with the boom throughout a rotational movement of the boom about the pivot..." Anderson et al. does not disclose or suggest this feature. In fact the potentiometer 200 disclosed in Anderson et al. "...is coupled to the arms' rear torque tube assembly 62 along with the pivot axis to monitor the position of the arms relative to the body." (Anderson et al., column 9, lines 4-7) Further, Anderson et al.'s potentiometer 200 "...has a rotary arm 205 fixedly mounted to the body so that as arm 56 is pivoted its position relative to the body is detected..." (Anderson et al., column 9, lines 14-16)

As demonstrated above, Anderson et al. does not disclose every feature recited in claim 12 and, thus, does not anticipate claim 12. Further, Anderson et al. cannot anticipate claim 13 as it includes all of the features of claim 12 as well as additional features. It is respectfully requested that the rejection of claim 12 under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Anderson et al. be withdrawn.

Page 2 of the Office Action rejects claim 14 under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by European Patent Specification 0 718 593 B1 to Lee et al. (hereinafter "Lee et al."). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 14 recites, inter alia, "...a boom angle follower for following the boom through at least one boom angle, the boom angle follower including a spring and a

follower arm, the spring biasing the follower arm against a surface of the boom arm such that the follower arm remains in contact with the boom arm throughout a rotational movement of the boom arm about the pivot..." Lee et al. does not disclose a "boom angle follower including a spring and a follower arm, the spring biasing the follower arm against a surface of the boom arm such that the follower arm remains in contact with the boom arm throughout a rotational movement of the boom arm about the pivot." Applicants agree with the Office Action when it states that, according to Lee et al.'s Figure 4, sensor 12 rides in arcuate groove 13. However, Lee et al. does not disclose a spring biasing 12 against the arm 6. Further, such a spring would be unnecessary as the sensor 12 rides in the arcuate groove 13. Thus, Lee et al. does not disclose every feature of claim 14.

Applicants respectfully submit that, as demonstrated above, and, contrary to the assertions of the Office Action, Lee et al. does not disclose every feature of claim 14 and, thus, does not anticipate claim 14. It is respectfully requested that the rejection of claim 14 under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Lee et al. be withdrawn.

For at least the reasons set forth above, applicant respectfully submits that the application defines patentable subject matter. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1 - 17 is respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, he was invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,

Hope W. Carter
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Hope W. Carter
Reg. No. 46,604
Patent Department
Deere & Company
One John Deere Place
Moline, IL 61265
Telephone No. (309) 765-4380

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on: 14 December 2006
Date

Deere & Company
Carrie McKay 14 December 2006
Signature Date

Application No. 10/661,166
Amendment Dated 12/14/2006
Reply to Office Action of 08/14/2006

APPENDIX
(Replacement Sheets for Figures 5 and 7)